

Client Name:	Green Bay Austin Straubel International Airport
AWS Registration Number:	AWS-000346
Client Representative:	Marty Piette, A.A.E. Airport Director
	Rachel Engeler, MS Assistant Airport Director
Audit Team:	Rae Mindock/Lead Auditor
Audit Dates:	November 10, 2021
Stakeholder Notification:	AWS & SCS Websites 10/15/2021, Local Newspaper 10/18/2021
Site Location:	2077 Airport Drive, Green Bay, Wisconsin, 54313
Report Date:	January 28, 2022

Standard: AWS International Water Stewardship Standard - Version 2.0, March 22, 2019

Audit Type	Gap Analysis	☑ Initial Certification	□ Surveillance
	Pre-assessment		□ Recertification

Level of	🛛 Core	🗆 Gold	🗆 Platinum
Certification			



### **Site Information**

#### **Site Description**

The Green Bay Austin Straubel International Airport is located in Green Bay, Wisconsin. It is physically located in the villages of Ashwaubenon and Hobart as well as having a portion of the airport property within Trust Land of the Oneida Nation. The site receives municipal water from both Hobart and Ashwaubenon. Wastewater services are provided by New Water and discharged after treatment to the Fox River.

#### **Catchment Description**

The catchment for Green Bay Austin Straubel International Airport includes The City of Green Bay Fox River (HUC 040302040405) and Dutchmen's Creek Sub-Watershed (HUC 040302040404). The Green Bay Austin Straubel International Airport (GRB) is located within the Dutchman Creek sub-watershed. The Dutchman Creek watershed is in Outagamia/Brown County is predominately agricultural in the upper area, converting to urban (residential) in the lower area. The primary source of water (water supply intake) is Lake Michigan. The ultimate discharge of treated water is the Fox River.



#### **Shared Water Challenges**

Shared water challenges are catchment water-related issues shared by the site and stakeholders. Stakeholder engagement was documented, and auditor interviews confirmed the topics of engagement. Shared water challenges included water quality (examples PFAS, TMDL) and infrastructure. A prioritized list of shared water challenges addressing the outcomes was provided.



### **Audit Attendees**

Participant Title	Opening Meeting	Document Review	Site Inspection	Closing Meeting				
Airport Director	x	x	x	Х				
MS Assistant Airport Director	x	x	Х	Х				
Manufacturing Specialist	x	x	Х	Х				
External Stakeholders: The Nature Conservancy, Brown Co	ounty Land a	nd Water Co	onservation					
Department, New Water								
Internal Stakeholders: Airport Director, Assistant Director,	, Airport Ope	erations Sup	ervisor					
Supporting Documentation:								
The Green Bay Austin Straubel International Airport provi	ded docume	ntation usin	g ShareFile	to				
support conformity with the AWS Standard v2.0 including: The Compliance Plans (includes the Water								
Stewardship Plan) is a working document which is continually updated with information regarding								
how shared water challenges are being addressed include	d progress,	performance	e evaluation	and				
stakeholder feedback. Other supporting documentation w	vere also pro	vided as evi	dence.					

# Summary of Findings

Step	Major	Minor	Observations	Advanced Criteria Total Points
1. Gather & Understand	0	0	2	
2. Commit & Plan	0	0	0	
3. Implement	0	0	0	
4. Evaluate	0	0	0	
5. Communicate & Disclose	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	2	NA



Non-Conformity (Major or Minor) or Observation	Citation	Criteria/ Indicator	Due Date	Detail and Corrective Action
Observation	OBS 2021.01	1.3.6	NR	<b>OBS 2021.01 was issued.</b> The site described on- site IWRAs but did not include the on-site creek. The site should consider Dutchman Creek as an IWRA.
				<b>Root Cause Analysis and Corrective Action</b> Not Required for Observation.
Observation	OBS 2021.02	1.3.7	NR	<b>OBS 2021.02 was issued.</b> The site should review site activities to identity other value-added actions associated with social, cultural and environment areas.
				Root Cause Analysis and Corrective Action Not Required for Observation.

# Audit Non-conformities and Observations

### **Certification Decision**

Auditor's recommendation for initial, continued or re-certification based on	Х	Recommended
compliance with requirements:		Not Recommended
Level of Certification recommended	Х	AWS Core
		AWS Gold
		AWS Platinum
SCS Certification Decision:	Х	Approved
		Denied
Certification Decision by:		Shama Goldon
		Shana Golden
Technical Review by:		Shana Goldon
		Shana Golden
Date of Decision:		February 1, 2022
Surveillance Schedule:		Next audit is scheduled for:
		December 2022
		12 Month Surveillance



# AWS International Water Stewardship Standard, Version 2.0, March 22, 2019

Surveillance audits shall cover at a minimum those requirements highlighted in light green.

#### STEP 1: Gather and Understand

Criteria	Indicator	Yes	No	NA	Objective Evidence/Finding	Points
1.1 Gather information to define the site's physical scope for water stewardship purposes, including: its operational boundaries; the water sources from which the site draws; the locations to which the site returns its discharges; and the catchment(s) that the site affect(s) and upon which it is reliant.	<ul> <li>1.1.1 The physical scope of the site shall be <i>mapped</i>, considering the regulatory landscape and zone of stakeholder interests, including: <ul> <li>Site boundaries;</li> <li>Water-related infrastructure, including piping network, owned or managed by the site or its parent organization;</li> <li>Any water sources providing water to the site that are owned or managed by the site or its parent organization;</li> <li>Water service provider (if applicable) and its ultimate water source;</li> <li>Discharge points and waste water service provider (if applicable) and ultimate receiving water body or bodies;</li> <li>Catchment(s) that the site affect(s) and is reliant upon for water.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Yes			<ul> <li>The Green Bay Austin Straubel International Airport (GRB) Site located in the villages of Ashwaubenon and Hobart as well as having a portion of the airport property within Trust Land of the Oneida Nation. The physical scope of the site was mapped, including property boundaries, onsite water to site and discharge from site. Details of water-related infrastructure were provided.</li> <li>The GRB site receives municipal water from both Ashwaubenon and Hobart. The source of water is Lake Michigan. Wastewater is discharged New Water which ultimately discharges to the Fox River. No water sources are owned or managed by the organization.</li> <li>The catchment for GRB Site includes The City of Green Bay Fox River (HUC 040302040405) and Dutchmen's Creek Sub-Watershed (HUC 040302040404).</li> </ul>	
1.2 Understand relevant stakeholders, their water related challenges, and the site's ability to influence beyond its boundaries.	<ul> <li>1.2.1 Stakeholders and their water-related challenges shall be <i>identified</i>. The process used for stakeholder identification shall be <i>identified</i>.</li> <li>This process shall:</li> <li>Inclusively cover all relevant stakeholder groups including vulnerable, women, minority, and Indigenous people;</li> <li>Consider the physical scope identified, including stakeholders, representative of</li> </ul>	Yes			The stakeholder map was provided and includes identification of local population, authorities (municipalities), businesses (economic neighbors), and NGOs. Stakeholders identified include NEW Water, Ashwaubenon Water Utility, Green Bay Water Utility, The Nature Conservancy, local suppliers, manufacturers, school districts, community outreach programs, and regional representatives. The Outreach log included individuals and organizations consulted, including notes on conversations which provided information on water-related interests/challenges. The summary includes actions, follow-up and feedback. The ranking of stakeholder influence and interest with levels of influence and interest is defined.	



	the site's ultimate water source and ultimate receiving water body or bodies; - Provide evidence of stakeholder consultation on water-related interests and challenges; - Note that the ability and/or willingness of stakeholders to participate may vary across the relevant stakeholder groups; - Identify the degree of stakeholder engagement based on their level of interest and influence.			
	1.2.2 Current and potential degree of influence between site and stakeholder shall be <i>identified</i> , within the catchment and considering the site's ultimate water source and ultimate receiving water body for wastewater.	Yes	Stakeholders are related to the site's catchment and identifies the stakeholders' ability to influence or be influenced. Influence/Interest is characterized (inform, consult, involve, partner).	
1.3 Gather water-related data for the site, including:	1.3.1 Existing water-related incident response plans shall be <i>identified</i> .	Yes	The Airport Emergency Plan was reviewed and addresses incident response. The airport is also accredited as a Global Biorisk Star Facility.	
water balance; water quality, Important Water- Related Areas, water	1.3.2 Site water balance, including inflows, losses, storage, and outflows shall be <i>identified</i> and <i>mapped</i> .	Yes	GRB Site used the Site Water Balance Calculator to prepare the water balance which includes inflows, outputs, losses and was mapped.	
governance, WASH; water- related costs, revenues, and shared value creation.	1.3.3 Site water balance, inflows, losses, storage, and outflows, including indication of annual variance in water usage rates, shall be <b>quantified</b> . Where there is a water-related challenge that would be a threat to good water balance for people or environment, an indication of annual high and low variances shall be <b>quantified</b> .	Yes	The site water balance was presented using the Site Water Balance Calculator. The balance is measured over a year includes inputs, losses, discharge and stormwater collection.	
	1.3.4 Water quality of the site's water source(s), provided waters, effluent and receiving water bodies shall be <b>quantified</b> . Where there is a water-related challenge that would be a threat to good water	Yes	Water quality data including public water supply for Green Bay Waterworks, Ashwaubenon Waterworks and Hobart Waterworks. Effluent water quality from the wastewater treatment plans was provided which was consistent with permit conditions. Stormwater data was provided,	



	<ul> <li>quality status for people or environment, an indication of annual, and where appropriate, seasonal, high and low variances shall be <i>quantified</i>.</li> <li>1.3.5 Potential sources of pollution shall be <i>identified</i> and if applicable, <i>mapped</i>, including chemicals used or stored on site.</li> </ul>	Yes	Lower Green Bay wa	micals stored at the site was provided. Chemical	
	1.3.6 On-site Important Water-Related Areas shall be <i>identified</i> and <i>mapped</i> , including a description of their status including Indigenous cultural values.	Yes	mapped and observe provided. OBS 2021.01 was iss	clude the cistern and farmland. The locations were d during site visit. A description of the status was <b>ued.</b> The site described on-site IWRAs but did not reek. The site should consider Dutchman Creek as an	
	1.3.7 Annual water-related costs, revenues, and a description or quantification of the social, cultural, environmental, or economic water- related value generated by the site shall be <b>identified</b> and used to inform the evaluation of the plan in 4.1.2.	Yes	stewardship actions a The shared value disc improvements and e of rainwater for clear OBS 2021.02 was iss	presented including costs to implement water and site-related costs were provided and reviewed. cussed during the audit included agricultural ducation associated with the farmland and collection ning vehicles. <b>ued.</b> The site should review site activities to identity tions associated with social, cultural and environment	
	1.3.8 Levels of access and adequacy of WASH at the site shall be <i>identified</i> .	Yes	visitors. The GRB util	-site with potable water and toilets for employees and ized Sphere "WASH Organizational Capacity ment WASH adequacy.	
1.4 Gather data on the site's indirect water use, including: its primary inputs; the water use	1.4.1 The embedded water use of primary inputs, including quantity, quality and level of water risk within the site's catchment, shall be <b>identified.</b>	Yes		r outsourced services is the glycol used for deicing of not sourced within the catchment.	
embedded in the production of those primary inputs the status of the waters at the origin of the inputs (where they can be <b>identified</b> ); and water used in out-sourced water- related services.	1.4.2 The embedded water use of outsourced services shall be <b>identified</b> , and where those services originate within the site's catchment, <b>quantified</b> .	Yes		r outsourced services is the glycol used for deicing of mponent of the glycol. Glycol is not sourced within the	



1.5 Gather water-related data for the catchment, including: water governance, water balance, water quality, Important Water-Related Areas, infrastructure, and WASH	<ul> <li>1.5.1 Water governance initiatives shall be <i>identified</i>, including catchment plan(s), water-related public policies, major publicly-led initiatives under way, and relevant goals to help inform site of possible opportunities for water stewardship collective action.</li> <li>1.5.2 Applicable water-related legal and regulatory requirements shall be <i>identified</i>, including legally-defined</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	The significant publicly led initiative (National Estuarine Research Reserve) and water related public policy goals for the catchment was provided and discussed. A list of federal, state, local permits and regulatory requirements was provided. List of relevant and applicable legal and other requirements were also provided.
	and/or stakeholder-verified customary water rights. 1.5.3 The catchment water-balance, and where applicable, scarcity, shall be <i>quantified</i> , including indication of annual, and where appropriate, seasonal, variance.	Yes	The catchment water balance based on NOAA, Lake Michigan seasonal water was provided and discussed, including seasonal fluctuations.
	1.5.4 Water quality, including physical, chemical, and biological status, of the catchment shall be <i>identified</i> , and where possible, <i>quantified</i> . Where there is a water-related challenge that would be a threat to good water quality status for people or environment, an indication of annual, and where appropriate, seasonal, high and low variances shall be <i>identified</i> .	Yes	Catchment water quality data was provided in from multiple sources including water quality from Lake Michigan (University of Wisconsin Green Bay Water Monitoring) and the Department of Natural Resources. Data from Green Bay Waterworks, Ashwaubenon Waterworks and Hobart Waterworks. The catchment is impaired due to Total Suspended Solids and Total Phosphorus. Current and future trends were provided based on Consumer confidence reports.
	1.5.5 Important Water-Related Areas shall be <i>identified</i> , and where appropriate, <i>mapped</i> , and their status assessed including any threats to people or the natural environment, using scientific information and through stakeholder engagement.	Yes	IWRAs have been identified and mapped by the GRB site, along with a description of their water-related issues. The IWRA evaluation is focused on the National Estuarian Research Reserve.



	<ul> <li>1.5.6 Existing and planned water-related infrastructure shall be <i>identified</i>, including condition and potential exposure to extreme events.</li> <li>1.5.7 The adequacy of available WASH services within the catchment shall be <i>identified</i>.</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	A list of publicly available reports/data of water-related infrastructure with a description, exposure scenarios and opportunities were provided and reviewed. Infrastructure included natural infrastructure, water supply, and treatment plant, including discussions on exposure to extreme events. The adequacy of WASH was evaluated and identified using the WASH Capacity Assessment (UNHCR Checklist) which uses publicly available data from local communities.
1.6 Understand current and future shared water challenges in the	1.6.1 Shared water challenges shall be <i>identified</i> and prioritized from the information gathered.	Yes	A prioritized list with rationale of shared water challenges was provided and reviewed. The evaluation process was described.
catchment, by linking the water challenges <i>identified</i> by stakeholders with the site's water challenges.	1.6.2 Initiatives to address shared water challenges shall be <i>identified</i> .	Yes	A list of existing initiatives was provided and reviewed.
1.7 Understand the site's water risks and opportunities: Assess and prioritize the water risks and opportunities affecting	1.7.1 Water risks faced by the site shall be <i>identified</i> , and prioritized, including likelihood and severity of impact within a given timeframe, potential costs and business impact.	Yes	A prioritized list of water risks was provided and reviewed. Water risks matched shared water challenges.
the site based upon the status of the site, existing risk management plans and/or the issues and future risk trends <i>identified</i> in 1.6.	1.7.2 Water-related opportunities shall be <i>identified</i> , including how the site may participate, assessment and prioritization of potential savings, and business opportunities.	Yes	A prioritized list of water-related opportunities for the site and match the shared water challenges and water risks lists. A prioritized list of projects, and savings was submitted and reviewed.
1.8 Understand best practice towards achieving AWS outcomes: Determining sectoral best practices having a local/catchment, regional, or national relevance.	1.8.1 Relevant catchment best practice for water governance shall be <i>identified</i> .	Yes	The GRB site prepared a list of best practices and compared applicability to the AWS Outcomes. Several best practices including the OECD Principals on Water Governance and Building Blocks for Good Water Governance.



1.8.2 Relevant sector and/or catchment best practice for water balance (either through water efficiency or less total water use) shall be <i>identified</i> .	Yes	The GRB site prepared a list of best practices and compared applicability to the AWS Outcomes. Several best practices including the several ACRP airport documents, Airport Desk Refence and Airport and Environmental Sustainability.	
1.8.3 Relevant sector and/or catchment best practice for water quality shall be <i>identified</i> , including rationale for data source.	Yes	The GRB site prepared a list of best practices and compared applicability to the AWS Outcomes. Several best practices including the USEAP Water Quality Best Practices and USEPA Water Sense.	
1.8.4 Relevant catchment best practice for site maintenance of Important Water- Related Areas shall be <b>identified</b> .	Yes	The GRB site prepared a list of best practices and compared applicability to the AWS Outcomes. The best practice for IWRAs included the High Conservation Values Common Guide.	
1.8.5 Relevant sector and/or catchment best practice for site provision of equitable and adequate WASH services shall be <i>identified</i> .	Yes	The GRB site prepared a list of best practices and compared applicability to the AWS Outcomes. Several best practices including the Sphere Handbook and WASH Universal Access Checklist.	

# STEP 2: Commit and Plan

Criteria	Indicator	Yes	No	NA	Objective Evidence/Findings	Points
2.1 Commit to water	2.1.1 A signed and publicly <i>disclosed</i> site	Yes			A pledge was reviewed, signed by the site manager, containing all elements	
stewardship by having the	statement OR organizational document				described in this criterion.	
senior-most manager in	shall be <i>identified</i> . The statement or					
charge of water at the site,	document shall include the following					
or if necessary, a suitable	commitments:					
individual within the	- That the site will implement and disclose					
organization head office,	progress on water stewardship program(s)					
sign and publicly disclose a	to achieve improvements in AWS water					
commitment to water	stewardship outcomes					
stewardship, the	- That the site implementation will be					
implementation of the	aligned to and in support of existing					
AWS Standard and	catchment sustainability plans					
achieving its five outcomes,	- That the site's stakeholders will be					
and the allocation of	engaged in an open and transparent way					
required resources.	- That the site will allocate resources to					
	implement the Standard.					



2.2 Develop and document a process to achieve and maintain legal and regulatory compliance.	<ul> <li>2.2.1 The system to maintain compliance obligations for water and wastewater management shall be <i>identified</i>, including:</li> <li>Identification of responsible persons/positions within facility organizational structure</li> <li>Process for submissions to regulatory agencies.</li> </ul>	Yes	The GRB Register of Compliance Obligations was provided and reviewed. Included in the matrix are the listed permits and responsible staff to ensure maintenance of compliance.
2.3 Create a water stewardship strategy and plan including addressing risks (to and from the site), shared catchment water challenges, and	2.3.1 A water stewardship strategy shall be <i>identified</i> that defines the overarching mission, vision, and goals of the organization towards good water stewardship in line with this AWS Standard.	Yes	A water stewardship strategy statement signed by the campus factory manager was provided and reviewed. Blue Triton Allentown strategy is a high-level document stating the overall strategy is in alignment with the AWS requirements.
opportunities.	<ul> <li>2.3.2 A water stewardship plan shall be <i>identified</i>, including for each target:</li> <li>How it will be measured and monitored</li> <li>Actions to achieve and maintain (or exceed) it</li> <li>Planned timeframes to achieve it</li> <li>Financial budgets allocated for actions</li> <li>Positions of persons responsible for actions and achieving targets</li> <li>Where available, note the link between each target and the achievement of best practice to help address shared water challenges and the AWS outcomes.</li> </ul>	Yes	A detailed water stewardship plan was created as part of the AWS process. The plan is broken into objectives, targets, and actions. There are different actions corresponding to different targets, each with their own metrics, budget, responsible person, status, and other criteria.
2.4 Demonstrate the site's responsiveness and resilience to respond to water risks	2.4.1 A plan to mitigate or adapt to <i>identified</i> water risks developed in co- ordination with relevant public-sector and infrastructure agencies shall be <i>identified</i> .	Yes	The Airport Emergency Plan includes measures to address water risks. GRB is a partner with Brown County Emergency Management. The Brown County Disaster Plan also includes measures to address water risks. In addition, the Water Stewardship Plan is a working document which documents identification of water risks through performance, evaluation, and stakeholder consultation.



Criteria	Indicator	Yes	No	NA	Objective Evidence/Findings	Points
3.1 Implement plan to	3.1.1 Evidence that the site has supported	Yes			The site provided documentation of their efforts to support good	
participate positively in	good catchment governance shall be				catchment governance through participation with the local governing	
catchment governance.	identified.				agencies, sharing information with agencies and through continuing to	
					expand education on good water governance.	
	3.1.2 Measures <i>identified</i> to respect the	Yes			The site uses municipal water supply and municipal wastewater treatment.	
	water rights of others including				Excluded water rights have not been identified through stakeholder	
	Indigenous peoples, that are not part of				engagements, including with key water agencies.	
	3.2 shall be <i>implemented</i> .					
3.2 Implement system to	3.2.1 A process to verify full legal and	Yes			The Compliance Log was provided and reviewed. Included in the matrix are	
comply with water-related	regulatory compliance shall be				the listed permits and responsible staff to ensure maintenance of	
legal and regulatory	implemented.				compliance.	
requirements and respect	3.2.2 Where water rights are part of legal	Yes			Excluded water rights have not been identified through stakeholder	
water rights.	and regulatory requirements, measures				engagements, including with key water agencies. Water permits are	
	<i>identified</i> to respect the water rights of				included in the Compliance Binder.	
	others including Indigenous peoples, shall					
	be <i>implemented</i> .					
3.3 Implement plan to	3.3.1 Status of progress towards meeting	Yes			The Water Stewardship Plan includes Initiative Plan and Logs for	
achieve site water balance	water balance targets set in the water				documenting progress toward meeting targets.	
targets.	stewardship plan shall be <i>identified</i> .					
	3.3.2 Where water scarcity is a shared	Yes			The Water Stewardship Plan, Initiative Plan and Log(s) document multiple	
	water challenge, annual targets to				actions that address water use-efficiency.	
	improve the site's water use efficiency, or					
	if practical and applicable, reduce					
	volumetric total use shall be					
	implemented.					
	3.3.3 Legally-binding documentation, if	Yes			The site is not re-allocating water savings.	
	applicable, for the re-allocation of water					
	to social, cultural or environmental needs					
	shall be <b>identified</b> .					
3.4 Implement plan to	3.4.1 Status of progress towards meeting	Yes			The Water Stewardship Plan, Initiative Plan and Log(s) document multiple	
achieve site water quality	water quality targets set in the water				actions that address water quality improvements.	
targets.	stewardship plan shall be <i>identified</i> .					
	3.4.2 Where water quality is a shared	Yes			Actions to address water quality improvement are documented in the	
	water challenge, continual improvement				Water Stewardship Plan, Initiative Plan and Log(s).	



3.5 Implement plan to maintain or improve the site's and/or catchment's Important Water-Related	to achieve best practice for the site's effluent shall be <i>identified</i> and where applicable, <i>quantified</i> . 3.5.1 Practices set in the water stewardship plan to maintain and/or enhance the site's Important Water- Related Areas shall be <i>implemented</i> .	Yes	Actions to address the sites IWRAs (agriculture best practices) are documented in the Water Stewardship Plan, Initiative Plan and Log(s).	
Areas. 3.6 Implement plan to provide access to safe drinking water, effective sanitation, and protective hygiene (WASH) for all workers at all premises	3.6.1 Evidence of the site's provision of adequate access to safe drinking water, effective sanitation, and protective hygiene (WASH) for all workers onsite shall be <i>identified</i> and where applicable, <i>quantified</i> .	Yes	The GRB utilized Sphere "WASH Organizational Capacity Assessment" to document WASH adequacy.	
under the site's control.	3.6.2 Evidence that the site is not impinging on the human right to safe water and sanitation of communities through their operations, and that traditional access rights for Indigenous and local communities are being respected, and that remedial actions are in place where this is not the case, and that these are effective.	Yes	The GRB utilized Sphere "WASH Organizational Capacity Assessment" to document WASH adequacy. The site is not impacting WASH of communities. Discussions with stakeholders did not indicate actual or perceived concern that site was impinging on human right to safe water and sanitation in catchment.	
3.7 Implement plan to maintain or improve indirect water use within the catchment.	3.7.1 Evidence that indirect water use targets set in the water stewardship plan, as applicable, have been met shall be <b>quantified</b> .	Yes	Indirect water use is solely water within the glycol. There are no suppliers located in the catchment.	
	3.7.2 Evidence of engagement with suppliers and service providers, as well as, when applicable, actions they have taken in the catchment as a result of the site's engagement related to indirect water use, shall be <b>identified</b> .	Yes	GRB does not use outsourced services which have water impacts in the site's catchment.	
3.8 Implement plan to engage with and notify the owners of any shared	3.8.1 Evidence of engagement, and the key messages relayed with confirmation of receipt, shall be <i>identified</i> .	Yes	Evidence indicated there are no concerns with any shared water related infrastructure.	



water-related infrastructure of any						
concerns the site may						
have.						
3.9 Implement actions to	3.9.1 Actions towards achieving best	Yes			The site engages with catchment authorities and other stakeholders to	
achieve best practice	practice, related to water governance, as				share information, best practices and drive water stewardship efforts, one	
towards AWS outcomes:	applicable, shall be <i>implemented</i> .				example is the data sharing and collaborative efforts.	
continually improve	3.9.2 Actions towards achieving best	Yes			The site has established actions toward water quantity (water balance)	
towards achieving sectoral	practice, related to targets in terms of				associated with installation of rain gardens and cisterns, also with	
best practice having a	water balance shall be <i>implemented</i> .				improvements in agricultural practices.	
local/catchment, regional,	3.9.3 Actions towards achieving best	Yes			The site has established actions toward water quality improvements	
or national relevance.	practice, related to targets in terms of				associated with stormwater management and de-icing activities.	
	water quality shall be <i>implemented</i> .					
	3.9.4 Actions towards achieving best	Yes			The site has established actions toward IWRA improvements associated	
	practice, related to targets in terms of the				with improvement in agricultural practices in leased areas.	
	site's maintenance of Important Water-					
	Related Areas shall be <i>implemented</i> .					
	3.9.5 Actions towards achieving best	Yes			There is adequate WASH in the catchment.	
	practice related to targets in terms of					
	WASH shall be <i>implemented</i> .					
STEP 4: Evaluate						
Criteria	Indicator	Yes	No	NA	Objective Evidence/Findings	Points
4.1 Evaluate the site's	4.1.1 Performance against targets in the	Yes			The site has evaluated performance of the Stewardship Plan which is	
performance in light of its	site's water stewardship plan and the				aligned with realizing the AWS Outcomes. Targets established in the Plan	
actions and targets from its	contribution to achieving water				are tracked based on multiple actions with measurable metrics,	
water stewardship plan	stewardship outcomes shall be <i>evaluated</i> .				documentation of stakeholder engagement, and evaluation of changes in	
and demonstrate its					water risk for each target. The evaluation also includes a cost/benefits	
contribution to achieving					review and describes shared value benefits for each target.	
water stewardship	4.1.2 Value creation resulting from the	Yes			The site has created value related to multiple efforts. Knowledge gained	
outcomes.	water stewardship plan shall be				through implementation is being shared with key stakeholders.	
	evaluated.			ļ		
	4.1.3 The shared value benefits in the	Yes			Refer to 4.1.1	
	catchment shall be <i>identified</i> and where					
	applicable, quantified.					



water-related emergency incidents (includinga y extreme events), if any occurred, and determine the effectiveness of corrective and preventativea	4.2.1 A written annual review and (where appropriate) root-cause analysis of the year's emergency incident(s) shall be orepared and the site's response to the ncident(s) shall be <i>evaluated</i> and oroposed preventative and corrective actions and mitigations against future projects chall be <i>identified</i>	Yes	No water-related emergency events have occurred or shutdown that was water related.	
4.3 Evaluate stakeholders' consultation feedback4regarding the site's watersi	ncidents shall be <i>identified.</i> 4.3.1 Consultation efforts with stakeholders on the site's water stewardship performance shall be <i>identified</i> .	Yes	Internal and external stakeholder outreach conducted and documented in the Stakeholder Outreach Log.	
4.4 Evaluate and update44.4 Evaluate and update4the site's watersistewardship plan,irincorporating theleinformation obtained fromtl	4.4.1 The site's water stewardship plan shall be modified and adapted to ncorporate any relevant information and essons learned from the evaluations in this step and these changes shall be identified.	Yes	The Water Stewardship Plan is a working document updated annually to reflect on-going actions and completed projects. The Plan tracks targets and actions tied to best practice and AWS outcomes addressed. Performance and stakeholder consultation with respect to the projects are included. Stakeholder consultation has led to sharing projects and adapting to stakeholder projects as requested.	

# STEP 5: Communicate and Disclose

Criteria	Indicator	Yes	No	NA	Objective Evidence/Findings	Points
5.1 Disclose water-related internal governance of the site's management,	5.1.1 The site's water-related internal governance, including positions of those accountable for compliance with water-	Yes			The organization chart includes the staff and relevant responsible personnel for water-related laws and regulations. The organization is disclosed on the website.	
including the positions of those accountable for legal	related laws and regulations shall be disclosed.					
compliance with water- related local laws and regulations.						



5.2 Communicate the water stewardship plan with relevant stakeholders.	5.2.1 The water stewardship plan, including how the water stewardship plan contributes to AWS Standard outcomes, shall be communicated to relevant stakeholders.	Yes	The water stewardship plan was communicated with outreach confirmed through stakeholder interviews.
5.3 Disclose annual site water stewardship summary, including the relevant information about the site's annual water stewardship performance and results against the site's targets.	5.3.1 A summary of the site's water stewardship performance, including <b>quantified</b> performance against targets, shall be <b>disclosed</b> annually at a minimum.	Yes	The water stewardship information was reviewed and includes the site's water stewardship performance results. GRB conducted public/consumer education outreach; and providing stakeholders information that reviewed the sites water challenges, stakeholder feedback, targets, with implementation outcomes.
5.4 Disclose efforts to collectively address shared water challenges,	5.4.1 The site's shared water-related challenges and efforts made to address these challenges shall be <b>disclosed</b> .	Yes	The site provided information on SWC including the site's water stewardship performance results which was disclosed to stakeholders.
including: associated efforts to address the challenges; engagement with stakeholders; and co- ordination with public- sector agencies.	5.4.2 Efforts made by the site to engage stakeholders and coordinate and support public-sector agencies shall be <i>identified</i> .		See 5.4.1
5.5 Communicate transparency in water- related compliance: make	5.5.1 Any site water-related compliance violations and associated corrections shall be <i>disclosed</i> .	Yes	Violations are publicly available through state and federal reporting (ECHO/US EPA). There were no violations reported via ECHO.
any site water-related compliance violations available upon request as	5.5.2 Necessary corrective actions taken by the site to prevent future occurrences shall be <b>disclosed</b> if applicable.	Yes	See 5.5.1
well as any corrective actions the site has taken to prevent future occurrences.	5.5.3 Any site water-related violation that may pose significant risk and threat to human or ecosystem health shall be immediately communicated to relevant public agencies and <b>disclosed</b> .	Yes	Violations are publicly available through state and federal reporting (ECHO/US EPA). There were no violations reported via ECHO. The ECHO reporting system would include violations that pose a significant risk and threat to human or ecosystem health.